v.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Subhi Mohammed Dajani,

Petitioner,

Albert Carter, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-15-02578-PHX-DLR

ORDER

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of Magistrate Judge Eileen Willett, which recommends dismissing Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus without prejudice. (Doc. 12.) The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. (*Id.* at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

Neither party filed objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and agrees with Magistrate Judge Willett's

recommendations. The Court will accept the R&R and deny the Petition without prejudice. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions."). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Willett's R&R (Doc. 12) is ACCEPTED, and Petitioner's petition is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Dated this 29th day of August, 2016.

> Douglas L. Rayes United States District Judge